



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.41, No.9

March 11th, 2005

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Democracy and Education: "For far the largest part of the history of mankind, society has not, even in theory, been assumed to be for the benefit of the individuals who compose it, but purely for the benefit of a minority who rule... Democracy, like Christianity, has never been tried, for the simple reason that there have never yet been men and women with the ability or the courage to use it for their own and society's sake."

— Richard Ogden, M.A. in *"The Fig Tree"*, September 1936

SO 'RED' THAT THEY ARE GREEN by James Reed:

The Threat of Enviromentalism, Religion of the New World Order.

"I'm heartily tired and fed-up and sick
Of enviromentalists waving a stick,
And ranting for complete cessation
Of everything that builds a nation."

Bastard from the Bush

Doug Jensen published an excellent book in 1984 with Veritas Publishing Company (still available through the League of Rights...ed) entitled *A Conspiracy Called Conservation*. Using Australian material up to the early 1980s, he clearly showed that the "green", "conservation" or "environmentalist" movement was dominated by Marxist ideology. It is not too difficult to substantiate such a thesis by consideration of environmentalist literature and activities since that time.

The *environmentalist* movement is typically *globalist* in its orientation. It consists of a vast network of Non-Government Organisations and government organisations committed to a common goal. That goal, since the 1990s, has been called "ecological sustainability" or "global justice". It is a programme of social reform more radical perhaps than even Marxism. Marxism at least believed in the capacity of humans to obtain technological mastery of the planet.

Environmentalism in its most extreme form sees human beings as a "cancer" on the face of the earth. This is not an idle metaphor: Some environmentalists have argued for the cancer hypothesis first-hand, comparing human population growth to the growth of cancers (*Population & Environment* W.M. Hern Vol. 12, 1990).

Here we will examine environmentalism as a new world order religion and expel some myths from the ecological writings of an otherwise sound social credit theorist, Geoffrey Dobbs. Most importantly, we will look at the issue of "peak oil" which like the greenhouse exaggeration is being used by

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *So 'Red' That They Are Green* • *Peak Oil: Then Let Civilisation Burn?*

"ON TARGET"

March 11th, 2005

Page 1 (33)

environmentalists in their racially suicidal quest to destroy White Christian civilisation and especially the Nordic racial group.

Anti-Christ Religion:

Environmentalism is explicitly anti-Christian. Evidence for this claim will be given shortly. First however I wish to outline how environmentalists have reworked Christianity.

They too believe in an Eden of the past, a golden age where humans lived in balance with nature. Then a fall from grace occurred with humans "eating" from the tree of knowledge. This 'fall' was the obtaining of technological sophistication, usually associated with the rise of Northern European civilisation.

Non-Nordic civilisations, such as the Chinese and Australian Aborigines are good = non-polluting, the Nordic or Northern European people are bad being intrinsically destructive. All this is maintained whilst also advocating anti-racism, that race is a "social construction", an ideology by Northern Europeans to suppress the otherwise gentle, harmonious non-Nordics.

For the environmentalist, the advance of civilisation is a threat to the sustainability of the Earth's life support systems. Various "crises" are rolled out to support such an outlandish claim, a claim which probably wouldn't even have been made without the use of the very technology that they condemn.

"Peak Oil" set to take over "Global Warming"? Global warming is an old favourite in the fear stakes, but "peak oil" may be set to overtake this old horse. For that reason, and because the "peak oil" issue is not as yet well known by actionists, we will look at "peak oil" here.

Earlier I said that environmentalism was anti-Christian. Such a claim can be well confirmed through consulting the standard environmental 'classics' such as Aldo Leopold's *A Sand County Almanac*, E.F. Schumacher's *Small is Beautiful* and James Lovelock's *Gaia: A New Look at Life on Earth*. This tradition has been highly influenced by a paper published in 1967 by Lynn White, which summarised the ecological attack on Christianity. The paper's title is *The Historical Roots of our Ecological Crisis*, published in the leading scientific journal, *Science*, March 1967.

White's thesis was that Christianity established a dichotomy between man and nature that was not present in the peaceful 'politically correct' societies of primitive man. Nature was to be dominated and exploited by man. And hence, with technological development, this exploitation increased, leading to environmental crisis. The end result is that Nature will eliminate man through an environmental catastrophe and a natural balance will be achieved.

As a scientific description of history, this is all nonsense: Primitive man was engaged in a constant battle with his environment for survival. Environmental 'destruction' was necessary for human life to rise above that of the beasts. Of course the environmentalists, with their university positions seldom experience nature as it is really. Their views are like the university writers on migration who never set foot in the world of crime, drugs and sweatshops: all is rosy.

Aboriginal Australians wiped out all the large marsupials. The Maoris exterminated a pre-existing people who inhabited New Zealand about 1,000 years ago. Life was tough and brutish.

Reversion to "Mother Earth" concepts: One of the great environmentalist myths is that of Gaia or "Mother Earth". James Lovelock, a scientist, has pushed the idea that the life support systems of the earth constitute "an organic whole", and, just as the cells of a brain acting in a system allow consciousness, so does the biological systems of the earth constitute an *emergent reality*, greater than the parts.

All this is merely a form of *animism*, that the world itself is alive, if not a person. The idea seems to have captured the imagination of an otherwise sound social creditor, Geoffrey Dobbs, who in *On*

Planning the Earth (a series of articles published in the UK Home journal...ed) devotes a chapter in support of the Gaia hypothesis.

Dobbs notes that the Gaia concept can lead to pagan 'Earth Mother' worship. As well he notes that Christian civilisation is under attack by the monopolistic world debt-culture. However, although making a mild criticism of the 'Earth Mother' concept as a "source of direct power over people", Dobbs, disappointingly for a social creditor, *does not make the connection that Gaia is fundamentally anti-Christian*.

Christianity gives *primacy* to human beings over nature. Only humans have souls which are eternal. Nature will ultimately perish. In the meantime, environmental 'destruction' and the death of animals and plants for human life to continue, is inevitable. For civilisation to continue, natural resources must be used.

PEAK OIL: THEN LET CIVILISATION BURN! by James Reed:

This brings me to the issue of 'peak oil', one of the frightening new world order scams about to be perpetrated against the public.

Let me summarise the argument. I will take a bit of space to put the environmentalists' case.

You need to know if you are a farmer or small businessman, because in the future, the use of this nonsense will affect you. So bear with me as I put on my green mask and tell you a fishy tale.

The headline in the "Business" section of *The Australian* 21/1/2005 read: "Santos Hails Huge Oil Strike". Santos has discovered a reserve of about 170 million barrels of oil off the coast of Indonesia, the "Jeruk discovery".

Such a find could bring in billions of dollars of revenue to Santos. One could be forgiven for thinking that the world's oil resources are just as secure: there will be plenty more finds of such magnitude for a long time to come. That is the conventional view.

For a number of years, doubts about such predictions have been made by a number of oil industry 'experts' that a peak in the supply of cheap oil will soon occur.

These doubts were voiced in the technical publications and covered in popular scientific journals and magazines. *The National Geographic* of June 2004, for example, features an article entitled "The End of Cheap Oil". Likewise it is full of shock and horror.

A number of books and websites exist discussing this issue and putting "the end of cheap oil" thesis. Richard Heinberg has published two books on this topic: *The Party's Over* and *Powerdown*, and he has a website www.museletter.com. David Goodstein has published *Out of Gas*.

The 'Grimm' tale for industrial society: The tale told in these books – and at a number of internet sites – is a grim one for the survival of industrial society.

Dr. Ali Samsan Bakhtiari, the National Iranian Oil Company Chief Advisor, said that world production would peak by 2007. The peak is called the "Hubbert Peak". After that point, there is increasing marginal costs and oil becomes increasingly expensive. Dr. Bakhtiari sees Australian petrol prices reaching \$3-\$4 per litre in a few years. Are you suspicious yet?

Trevor Sykes has discussed this issue in *The Australian Financial Review*, 15-16/1/2005, in an article grimly entitled "Global Oil Supply Running Out". He cites the European Association for the Study of Peak Oil and Gas which estimates that the Hubbert Peak is 2008.

Let's put Santos discovery in perspective: Let us put the Santos discovery of about 270 million

barrels in perspective. The world uses about 82 million barrels of oil a day. Saudi Arabia supplies 10 million of this, being the world's largest oil exporter. The Ghawar oil field in Saudi Arabia is the world's largest oil field accounting for about half of Saudi Arabia's production. Some 'experts' believe that oil production in that field is now peaking, and oil recovery costs, by using sea water to drive up the remaining oil, are increasing.

Environmentalists argue: Even so, the discovery of new fields like the Ghawar is rare - the environmentalists argue. The global discovery of oil peaked in the 1960s. Oil discovery peaked in the United States in the 1930s and US oil production peaked in the 1970s. The US is now a major oil importing nation.

The environmentalists take the US situation as a model for the world. Natural gas is thought, by the environmentalists, to have a peak a few years after that of oil. Although there are vast coal reserves on Earth much of it is of low quality and difficult to access. The energy-profit ratio for coal has been falling over the last few decades. An increased reliance on coal could result in extraction rates peaking within two decades - the environmentalists claim.

Nuclear power would not be a suitable substitute they believe. Ignoring the problem of waste disposal there would need to be hundreds of new nuclear power plants built which would deplete uranium reserves within a few decades. There is doubt by a number of "experts" about whether solar, wind and "alternative" energy sources could fuel the global industrial society. Or so the environmentalists tell us.

Apart from energy, oil is used to produce agri-chemicals and fertilizers. It takes about 3/4 of a gallon of oil to produce a pound of beef. Large scale power outages, such as occurred in the United States in August 2003, could become frequent occurrences. The result is a crash of the information economy and industrial civilisation itself. **So that, in a nutshell is their case.**

An alternative argument - the RIP ratio: It would take too long to systematically refute this argument. But, briefly, the idea that after some 'half-way point' there will be increasing marginal costs because at the rate at which oil is pumped out of the ground follows a bell-shaped curve, is questionable. An alternative view of oil scarcity, known as the RIP ratio, looks at the ratio of reserves to production.

On this view we will not be in trouble for, at worst forty years, but most likely one hundred, giving technology plenty of time to solve the problem.

Joe Vials has argued that the Russians have dug super deep oil wells such as Kola S6-3, to a depth of 40,230 feet. Oil is available from super deep wells and Russia leads the way. The conventional wisdom holds that at a depth of about three miles below the surface the excessive heat at such a depth converts oil to natural gas. The conventional theory holds that oil is a hydrocarbon derived from surface organic material laid down millions of years ago. Oil at 40,000 feet directly challenges conventional science. This may well be so; thus the experts will need to look into this issue.

All that we can say for sure is that "peak oil" will be used by the new world order elite to further their demonic lust for centralised power. We will see a push to push ever more small farmers off the land in the name of sustainability. A phantom shortage of oil will ensure super high prices for petrol at the pump. And, finally, there will be a ready excuse for the United States to invade further oil rich "terrorist" nations, to make the world safe for Zionism, as Vials has noted.

Actionists need to begin researching this issue so that they are ready for the battle to come. You can be sure that in some shape or form, environmentalism will continue to be used to crash human freedom.